

Discuss Questions Section 1.3

1. What is the definition of prime integer?
 - a. Any reading notes to share?
 - b. Easy to demonstrate non-primeness
 - c. Harder to demonstrate primeness. Is 17 prime? How can we be sure?
 - d. Can we define the concept of primeness for rationals? Reals?

2. Theorem 1.8
 - a. Reference to Theorem 1.5. Did anyone go back and review that while reading?
 - b. Statement: For integer p not equal to 0, 1, or -1. p is prime iff _____
 - c. What are b and c here? Positive? Nonzero? Any integers?
 - d. Structure of proof? Should be two directions, but only one is given. Did anyone try to prove the missing direction? What will the general structure be?
 - e. If you go very far down the road to a proof you find yourself with situations where $a \mid b \mid a$. What would that imply? Do we have any previous results about this sort of situation?

3. Corollary 1.9
 - a. What does it say?
 - b. This is a common result: statement true for two elements is often also true for any finite number of elements.
 - c. What kind of numbers must the a_i be?
 - d. Proof by induction.

4. Theorem 1.10
 - a. Every integer except -1,0,1 is a product of primes. This is a major tool of number theory. Here we will see a rigorous proof. Did you see one in an earlier class?
 - b. Good strategy: try to prove it yourself. What would a proof look like?
 - c. Simpler problem: prove every integer except -1, 0, 1 is divisible by some prime. Restatement: every integer other than -1,0,1 is either itself a prime, or is divisible by a prime. Use (strong) induction.

5. Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
 - a. What does it say?
 - b. What does the corollary say?
 - c. It is a common thing to write prime factorizations with exponents. For example $280 = 10 \cdot 28 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 = 2^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. Is there a version of the FToA that uses that notational convention?