

Day 17: Tuesday, 3/21/2017

Collect 6.3 homework. Take questions.

Revisit the proof of this proposition: if  $A$  has  $n$  elements then the power set of  $A$  has  $2^n$  elements.

1. We argued by induction, and in the induction step we split the subsets of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$  into two types: those that include  $x_{n+1}$  and those that do not. The sets that do not involve  $x_{n+1}$  are simply the elements of the power set of  $\{x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and by the induction hypothesis there are  $2^n$  of those. We want to show that there are also  $2^n$  sets of the other type, accounting for  $2^{n+1}$  overall. For this purpose we define a mapping from the type 1 sets to the type 2 sets and show that this is a bijection. The mapping is simple to define: For every set  $S$  of the first type, we define  $f(S) = S \cup \{x_{n+1}\}$ . To complete the argument we have to show that this mapping is a bijection.
2. There is an alternate proof that uses bijections in a different way. We compare the power set of  $A$  with the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions  $f: \{\epsilon, \notin\} \rightarrow A$ .
  - a. There are  $2^n$  such functions, because for each  $a \in A$ , we can either define  $f(a)$  to be  $\epsilon$  or  $\notin$ . Thus we have two different ways to determine  $n$  independent choices. This shows that there  $2^n$  elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . (This is like the possible outcomes from flipping a coin  $n$  times.)
  - b. ★ Digression: If  $C$  has  $m$  elements and  $D$  has  $n$  elements, there are  $n^m$  different functions from  $C$  to  $D$ . If  $C$  and  $D$  each have  $m$  elements, there are  $m!$  *bijections* from  $C$  to  $D$ .
  - c. Each element of  $\mathcal{F}$  can be represented by a table of the form

$x$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$\dots$	$a_n$
$f(x)$	$f(a_1)$	$f(a_2)$	$\dots$	$f(a_n)$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are the distinct elements of  $A$  and each  $f(x)$  is either  $\epsilon$  or  $\notin$ .

- d. For example, if  $n = 5$ , one such function in  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by the table

$x$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$
$f(x)$	$\notin$	$\epsilon$	$\epsilon$	$\notin$	$\epsilon$

- e. Notice that this table defines a subset of  $A$  in a natural way. The table in our example defines the subset  $\{a_2, a_3, a_5\}$ .
- f. More generally, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a subset  $S_f$  of  $A$  defined by the rule
 
$$(\forall a \in A) (a \in S_f \text{ iff } f(a) = \epsilon).$$

- g. This establishes a bijection between  $\mathcal{F}$  and the power set  $\wp(A)$ . Since we have already argued that  $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^n$ , the bijection shows that  $|\wp(A)| = 2^n$ , too.

## New Topic Composition of Functions

### 1. Definition of composition of Two Functions

- a. If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$  then we can define  $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$  by the equation

$$g \circ f(a) = g(f(a))$$

- b. Note reversal of order  
 c. Arrow diagram explanation  
 d. Definition also makes sense if  $g: f(A) \rightarrow C$ , though our book does not include a definition of this.
2. Theorem 6.20. Let  $A, B$ , and  $C$  be nonempty sets and  $f$  and  $g$  functions with  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$ .

- a. Part 1: If  $f$  and  $g$  are both injections, so is  $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$ .  
 b. Part 2: If  $f$  and  $g$  are both surjections, so is  $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$ .

Proof:

- i. Outline: start with “Let  $c$  be any element of  $C$ ”, in the middle somewhere define an element of  $a$  of  $A$ , and end with “Therefore  $g \circ f(a) = c$ .”  
 ii. So,  $c$  is in  $C$ , and  $g$  is a surjection, so  $c = g(b)$  for some  $b$  in  $B$ . And now, since  $f$  is a surjection,  $b = f(a)$  for some  $a$  in  $A$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f(a) = g(f(a)) = g(b) = c$ .
- c. Part 3: If  $f$  and  $g$  are both bijections, so is  $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$ .  
 d. These are not iff statements. Example, if  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$ , and  $g(x) = x \sin x$ , then  $g \circ f$  is surjective but  $f$  is not. Similarly, if  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $B = \{101, 102\}$ , and  $C = \{0\}$ , and  $f$  and  $g$  are both constant functions, with  $f(a) = 101$  for all  $a$  and  $g(b) = 0$  for all  $b$ . (Make an arrow diagram for this example). On the other hand, if  $g \circ f$  is surjective then  $g$  must definitely be surjective. (Why?) Theorem 6.21 relates to these examples, and the idea that the statements in Theorem 6.20 are not iff statements.

3. Special example: Let  $n$  be a given natural number. Define  $A = B = C = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are any two bijections from  $A$  to  $A$  then so is their composition. Consider the set of all possible bijections from  $A$  to  $A$ . These are called permutations of  $A$ , and each permutation can be considered as a way to mixup or reorder the elements of  $A$ . The set of permutations is denoted  $S_n$  and has many interesting properties and applications. Note that the identity function defined by  $id(x) = x$  for all  $x$  is an element of  $S_n$ , and that for any other permutation  $f$ ,  $id \circ f = f$  and  $f \circ id = f$ . Also,  $S_n$  is closed under the operation of composition. This operation is associative, but not commutative. It is also noteworthy that the number of elements in  $S_n$  is  $2^n$ . That follows from a comment in the earlier in the digression ★.

End of Day